

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DISCLOSURE OF  
PRESCRIPTION DRUG MONITORING PROGRAM DATA  
FROM KANSAS *et al.***

Plaintiff the United States of America and Defendants Walmart Inc. and Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, (together, “Walmart”) pursuant to the Protective Order, paragraph 86, (D.I. 88) in the above-captioned action (this “Litigation”), respectfully submit this Stipulation and Proposed Order

WHEREAS, the states of Kansas, Maryland, South Carolina, and Washington or agencies thereof (the “States”) maintain electronic systems to collect and store controlled substance dispensing information (the “PDMP Databases”).

WHEREAS, the United States possesses data from the PDMP Databases (the “PDMP Data”) related to this Litigation.

WHEREAS, the States provided PDMP Data to the United States in the federal criminal actions against certain prescribers subject to the respective laws of the States. *See, e.g.*, KAN. STAT § 65-1685(c)(4); MD. CODE HEALTH-GEN. § 21-2A-06(b)(3); S.C. CODE § 44-53-1650(d)(4); WASH. STAT. § 70.225.040(3)(d).

WHEREAS, the laws of the States permit disclosure of the PDMP Data to a third-party by court order or where authorized by law. *See, e.g.*, KAN. STAT § 65-1685(a); MD. CODE HEALTH-GEN. § 21-2A-06(a)(3); S.C. CODE § 44-53-1650(d)(4) WASH. STAT. § 70.225.040(3)(h).

WHEREAS, Walmart believes federal law authorizes the United States to produce PDMP Data in its possession, custody, or control that is responsive to Walmart's discovery requests.

WHEREAS, the United States believes an Order from this Court is required to permit the disclosure of PDMP Data from the States that is responsive to Walmart's discovery requests.

WHEREAS, on November 1, 2024, this Court, subject to the Parties' stipulation, entered an order requiring the United States to produce PDMP data obtained from the states of Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia. (D.I. 166).

WHEREAS, being relevant to the claims and defenses at issue in this Litigation, subject to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Protective Order, the PDMP Data is discoverable and potentially admissible as evidence in this Litigation.

WHEREAS, the parties recognize that the PDMP Data may require specific handling and designation under the Protective Order.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, subject to the approval of this Court and pursuant to the Court's authority under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), that:

1. The United States shall, consistent with the Protective Order, produce the PDMP Data in its possession to Defendants consistent with this Court's Scheduling Order, *see* D.I. 124.

2. In accordance with the Protective Order, the United States shall designate the PDMP Data as "Highly Confidential – Protected Health Information."

3. This Order shall not be construed to require the United States to obtain additional data not already in its possession from the PDMP Databases or to prohibit Walmart from seeking additional PDMP data that is in the possession, custody, or control of the United States.

DAVID C. WEISS  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Elizabeth F. Vieyra  
Dylan Steinberg  
Elizabeth F. Vieyra  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
1313 N. Market Street  
Wilmington, DE 19899-2046  
(302) 573-6277  
dylan.steinberg@usdoj.gov  
elizabeth.vieyra@usdoj.gov

*Counsel for Plaintiff  
United States of America*

/s/ Kelly E. Farnan  
Robert W. Whetzel (#2288)  
Kelly E. Farnan (#4395)  
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.  
One Rodney Square  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 651-7700  
whetzel@rlf.com  
farnan@rlf.com

*Attorney for Defendants Walmart Inc.  
and Wal-Mart Stores East, LP*

Dated: January 2, 2025

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2025.

---

Honorable Eleanor G. Tennyson  
United States Magistrate Judge